Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	AARDAHL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alexis Wachtel	1764	
S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 5) or other appropriate communication is separation in second communication	ithis application. If not included inication will be mailed in due course. THI	S ative
·	•	
by the Examiner.		
ve been received. ve been received in Applicatio	n No	;
IMENT of this application.		
ives reason(s) why the oath or	declaration is deficient.	
	(PTO-948) attached	
r's Amendment./ Comment or	in the Office action of	
1.84(c)) should be written on the	e drawings in the front (not the back) of	
osit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	RIAL must be submitted. Note the	
) 6. ☐ Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413),	
√08), 7. ☐ Examiner's 11 0		
	pears on the cover sheet with S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 5) or other appropriate communication is s 13 and MPEP 1308. By the Examiner. Under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) of the second in application is second in application in the second in application. To of this communication to file in interest in the second in application. The second in application in the second in application in the second in application. The second in application in the second in	pears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included 5) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIRGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initial 3 and MPEP 1308. By the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). We been received. We been received in Application No focuments have been received in this national stage application from the submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF twes reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient. ust be submitted. By Samendment / Comment or in the Office action of 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.84(c) should be 1.84(c)

Art Unit: 1764

Detailed Action

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: regarding claims 1 and 12 the relied on prior art does not teach or suggest using a metal doped Y-alumina having a pore volume of at least 1.0 cm³/g for the reduction of nitrogen oxide (Nox) in an oxygen rich engine exhaust. While Narula does teach a metal doped Y-alumina having a pore size of 45 angstroms (Col 3, lines 60-61), Applicant argues Narula et al that the sol gel process used by Narula inherently results with smaller pore volumes than what applicant has claimed. Additionally, Applicant's method of making Y-alumina has yielded unique properties for utilization in plasma-assisted catalysis (PAC) of oxygen rich exhaust.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex Wachtel whose telephone number is 571-272-1455. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30am to 6:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Glenn Caldarola, can be reached at (571)-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Application/Control Number: 09/708,863

Art Unit: 1764

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn Caldarola

Page 3

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700